

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. None

FULWIDER PATTON
6060 CENTER DRIVE
10TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES CA 90045

**COPY MAILED** 

JUN 0 5 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of David Todjar Hengami

Application No. 10/675,318 Filed: September 29, 2003

Attorney Docket Number: HENG-

67322

Title: CONVENIENT SOLID PRODUCT

DISPENSING PACKAGE

DECISION ON RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. \$1.137(b)

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed May 15, 2006, pursuant to 37 C.F.R.  $\$1.137(b)^{1}$ , to revive the above-identified application.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action, mailed June 29, 2005, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No response was received, and no extensions of time under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) were requested. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on September 30, 2005. A notice of abandonment was mailed January 18, 2006.

<sup>1</sup> A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

<sup>1.</sup> The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;

<sup>2.</sup> The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);

<sup>3.</sup> A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;

<sup>4.</sup> Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

The original petition was submitted on January 17, 2006, and was dismissed via the mailing of a decision on May 2, 2006 for failure to submit the required reply.

With this renewed petition, Petitioner has indicated that application number 11/321,283 was filed on December 27, 2005, and is a continuation-in-part of the present application.

As such, this renewed petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

Since this application is being revived for purposes of continuity only and since continuity has been established by this decision reviving the application, the application is again abandoned in favor of continuing application number 11/321,283.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225. All other inquiries concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Paul Shanoski Senior Attorney Office of Petitions

United States Patent and Trademark Office